# STOR 556: ADV METH DATA ANAL Instructor: Richard L. Smith

# Class Notes #11: February 14, 2019



1

1

## Scheduling a Take-home Midterm/Final

- Midterm, posted noon Feb 24, email solutions no later than 6pm Feb 25
- Final, posted noon Apr 30, email solutions no later than 6pm May 1
- Dates are confirmed but will I work with any individual students who have difficulties with those dates

## Homework 4

- Chapter 3, Problems 1 and 3
- Hint for problem 1: you can test for interactions by including terms like

glm(cbind(ncases,ncontrols)~agegp+alcgp+tobgp+agegp\*alcgp +agegp\*tobgp+alcgp\*tobgp,family=binomial,esoph)

The \* terms denote interactions between factor variables.

Part (c) is open-ended: try to find some model that fits better than the best model from (b)

- Problem 3: data(seeds)
- In both problems, also answer part (i): would the fit be improved by using a quasi-binomial model?
- Due date: Tuesday, February 19.

# CHAPTER 5: REGRESSION FOR COUNT DATA

1. Poisson Regression

#### **Basics of Poisson model**

• 
$$\Pr\{Y = y\} = \frac{\mu^y e^{-\mu}}{y!}, y = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

- Data:  $y_1, ..., y_n$  Poisson with mean  $\mu_1, ..., \mu_n$
- Log link:  $\log \mu_i = \eta_i = \sum_j x_{ij} \beta_j$
- Log likelihood  $\ell(\mu_1, ..., \mu_n) = \sum (y_i \log \mu_i \mu_i \log y_i!)$
- Unrestricted  $\mu_i$ : maximized when  $\mu_i = y_i$ . Call this  $\ell_1$ .
- With log link and regressors:

$$\ell(\beta) = \sum_{i} \left\{ y_{i} \sum_{j} x_{ij} \beta_{j} - \exp\left(\sum_{j} x_{ij} \beta_{j}\right) - \log(y_{i}!) \right\},$$
  
$$\frac{\partial \ell(\beta)}{\partial \beta_{k}} = \sum_{i} \left\{ y_{i} x_{ik} - x_{ik} \exp\left(\sum_{j} x_{ij} \beta_{j}\right) \right\}.$$

#### **Maximum Likelihood Estimators**

• Write the *likelihood equations* as

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \beta_k} = \sum_i \left\{ y_i x_{ik} - x_{ik} \exp\left(\sum_j x_{ij} \hat{\beta}_j\right) \right\} = 0.$$

• If we write  $\exp\left(\sum_{j} x_{ij}\widehat{\beta}_{j}\right) = \widehat{\mu}_{i}$  we get

$$\sum_{i} (y_i - \hat{\mu}_i) x_{ik} = 0$$

which leads to the normal equations

$$X^T y = X^T \hat{\mu}.$$

• Note however we must still use numerical approximation to find  $\widehat{\mu}.$ 

### **Alternatives to Poisson Regression**

- We can also try a standard linear regression, ignoring the fact that y is a count. The text starts out this way with the Species dataset
  - Simple linear regression did not give a good fit variance increased with fitted value
  - Box=Cox transformation suggested  $\lambda = 0.3$  but  $\lambda = 0.5$  was almost as good on the plot
  - In fact taking  $\lambda = 0.5$  is a standard trick for count data — the reason is given on the next slide
  - This improves on the untransformed linear regression but it still isn't perfect
  - Another problem with the square root transformation is difficulty of interpreting the resulting model — Poisson regression with log link is much easier to understand

### Rationale for Square Root Transformation

- Suppose Y is Poisson with mean  $\mu$  moderately large (say  $\mu \geq 10)$
- The mean and variance of Y are both  $\mu$
- Write  $Y = \mu(1 + \mu^{-1/2}\epsilon)$  where  $\epsilon$  has mean 0 and variance 1
- Then  $Y^{1/2} = \mu^{1/2} (1 + \mu^{-1/2} \epsilon)^{1/2} \approx \mu^{1/2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \mu^{-1/2} \epsilon \right).$
- $Y^{1/2}$  has mean approximately  $\mu^{1/2}$  and variance approximately  $\frac{1}{4}$  independent of  $\mu$
- Therefore, a regression with  $Y^{1/2}$  as the response should have approximately constant variance (standard deviation  $\approx 0.5$ )
- However in the Species example, the residual standard error is 2.77, so this doesn't seem to work well either
- May indicate overdispersion

## **Deviance and Pearson** $X^2$

- As for binary case, compare log likelihood for a saturated model ( $\mu_i$  unrestricted) with the linear model being fitted,
- $\ell_1 = \sum_i (y_i \log y_i y_i \log y_i!)$
- $\ell_0 = \sum_i (y_i \log \hat{\mu}_i \hat{\mu}_i \log y_i!)$
- Deviance is

$$D = 2(\ell_1 - \ell_0) = 2\sum_i \left( y_i \log \frac{y_i}{\hat{\mu}_i} - (y_i - \hat{\mu}_i) \right).$$

• We can also calculate the Pearson  $X^2$  statistic

$$X^2 = \sum_{i} \frac{(y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)^2}{\hat{\mu}_i}.$$

### Overdispersion

- Sometimes a more reasonable model may be  $E(y_i) = \mu_i$ ,  $Var(y_i) = \phi \mu_i$  where  $\phi$  is a constant known as the *overdispersion* (usually but not necessarily  $\phi > 1$
- How to spot?
  - Plots of squared residuals against fitted values as in Fig.
    5.3 (right note that the plot is on a log scale here!)
  - Formal test of fit based on deviance or Pearson residuals (here leads to decisive rejection of the null hypothesis)
- Remedy USE family=quasipoisson
- For the species example we get a huge value  $\phi = 31.7$
- There are still some observations with large Cook statistic but not nearly so bad as with the regular Poisson model