## STOR 664: FALL 2020 Midterm Exam, September 30, 2020

This is an open-book, remote-learning exam. Time limit: 2 hours. Access to course materials and standard computational tools (in particular, R) is allowed; communication with other students or with anybody via the internet, other than the instructor, is not. The university Honor Code is in effect at all times. Answers may either be typed using Word or Latex, or handwritten and scanned or photographed; if handwritten, it is recommended you use blue or black ink on plain sheets of white paper. They should then be uploaded in sakai. The exam is worth 100 points total (35 for question 1, 65 for question 2); points for each part-question are stated below. Although the questions are intended to be answered in sequence, you may write out your answers in any order and errors in one part-question will not prevent you gaining full credit in other parts of the same question. Attempt all questions.

- 1. Three objects are to be weighed in a scale, whose true weights are written  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ,  $\beta_3$ .
  - (a) Consider the following weighing scheme.
    - i. Each of objects 1, 2, 3 is placed on its own in the scale. The observed weights are  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3$ .
    - ii. Each pair of objects (1 and 2, then 1 and 3, then 2 and 3) is placed in the scale together, resulting in observed weights  $Y_4$ ,  $Y_5$ ,  $Y_6$  respectively.
    - iii. The three objects are weighed together, with observed total weight  $Y_7$ .

Assume each of the weighings has a random error of mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ , and that all the random errors are uncorrelated.

Without using the computer, find formulas for the least squares estimates  $\hat{\beta}_1$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_2$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_3$ , as linear combinations of  $Y_1, ..., Y_7$ . You should state explicitly the coefficients of  $Y_1, ..., Y_7$  in these estimators, and show that the common variance of the three estimators is  $\frac{3\sigma^2}{8}$ . [18 points]

- (b) Consider an alternative weighing scheme where object 1 is weighed  $n_1$  times, object 2 is weighed  $n_2$  times, object 3 is weighed  $n_3$  times, where  $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = 7$ . Show that, however  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ ,  $n_3$  are chosen, the mean variance of  $\hat{\beta}_1$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_2$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_3$  is greater than in (a). [7 points]
- (c) Now suppose that the main quantity of interest is not any of  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ,  $\beta_3$ , but  $2\beta_3 \beta_1 \beta_2$ . (This might be of interest, for instance, if we were substituting objects of types 1 and 2 in a system with objects of type 3, or the other way round, and we wanted to know whether this would result in an increase or a decrease in the total weight of the system.) Find the variance of the estimator  $2\hat{\beta}_3 \hat{\beta}_1 \hat{\beta}_2$  from part (a), and show that there is at least one estimator derived from the scheme of part (b) that would have smaller variance. [10 points]
- 2. Consider the model

$$y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i + \epsilon_i,$$
  
$$y_{n+i} = \beta_1 + \beta_3 x_i + \epsilon_{n+i},$$

for i = 1, ..., n. Here,  $x_i$ , i = 1, ..., n is a covariate with  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 0$  and  $\epsilon_i$ , i = 1, ..., 2n are independent  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  random variables. Our ultimate interest is in testing the null hypothesis  $H_0: \beta_2 = \beta_3$  against the alternative  $H_1: \beta_2 \neq \beta_3$ .

- (a) Assuming  $H_1$ , find explicit formulas for the least squares estimators  $\hat{\beta}_1$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_2$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_3$  in terms of  $y_1, ..., y_{2n}$  and  $x_1, ..., x_n$ , and calculate their variances. [10 points]
- (b) Recall that there is a general formula

$$SST = SSR_1 + SSE_1$$

that expresses the total sum of squares  $(SST = \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2)$  as the sum of squares due to regression and the sum of squared residuals, which in this case is given by

$$SSE_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ (y_i - \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_2 x_i)^2 + (y_{n+i} - \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_3 x_i)^2 \right\}.$$

The subscript 1 here is to denote that these calculations are made under  $H_1$ . Under the above assumptions, find an explicit formula for  $SSR_1$  in terms of  $\hat{\beta}_2$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_3$  and  $x_1, ..., x_n$ . [10 points]

- (c) Repeat the calculations of parts (a) and (b) under  $H_0$ . In particular, assuming  $\beta_3 = \beta_2$ , find least squares estimators for  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ , their variances, and formulas for  $SSR_0$  and  $SSE_0$  in this case. To distinguish them from the estimators in (a) and (b), write  $\tilde{\beta}_1$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_2$  for these estimators. [8 points]
- (d) What are the relationships between  $\tilde{\beta}_1$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_2$  from part (c) and  $\hat{\beta}_1$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_2$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_3$  in (a)? [4 **points**]
- (e) Prove the formula

$$SSE_0 - SSE_1 = SSR_1 - SSR_0 = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta}_3)^2}{2} \sum_{1}^{n} x_i^2.$$

[ Note: If you didn't succeed in proving this formula, nevertheless you should assume it is correct for the remaining parts of the question.] [6 points]

- (f) Show how to formally test  $H_0$  against  $H_1$  at significance level 0.05. Specifically, you should define a relevant test statistic (which may be expressed in terms of  $SSE_0$ ,  $SSE_1$ , or any quantities developed in previous parts of the question), and explain how to define the rejection region so that the test has the desired significance level. [8 points]
- (g) Explain how you would calculate the power of this test for given values of  $\beta_2$ ,  $\beta_3$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $x_1, ..., x_n$ . To illustrate your answer, calculate the power of the test when  $\left|\frac{\beta_2 \beta_3}{\sigma}\right| = \frac{1}{2}$ , n = 10 and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2$  is any of (i) 20, (ii) 40, (iii) 60, (iv) 80. [11 points]
- (h) In practice, the main "design of the experiment" issue may well be the value of  $\sum x_i^2$ , which the experimenter may be able to adjust by choosing suitable values of the  $x_i$ 's. Assuming the other parameters are as in part (g), what value of  $\sum x_i^2$  would be needed to achieve power 0.8? [8 points]

[Note: The last two parts are the only places on the exam where you are expected to use the computer; if you use R, you should indicate clearly which functions are being used, and how.]